

BULLET BACKGROUND PAPER
ON
INSPECTOR GENERAL (AETC/IG)

PURPOSE

Provide overview of AETC/IG's mission, vision, operations

BACKGROUND

- Mission: We provide exceptional complaint resolution management and accurate assessment of unit effectiveness, readiness, and compliance
- Vision: We strive to continually enhance IG relevancy to enable the development of the Airmen we need who can meet 21st century challenges
- Priorities: (A)ssess Readiness, Lethality, and Effectiveness, (E)nhance Complaint Resolution, (T)arget Compliance in the Command, (C)hampion Pertinent Oversight and Continual Evaluation management

- COMPLAINTS RESOLUTION

- AETC averages 1,400 IG complaint actions in AETC each year
- On average, 94% of IG complaint actions in AETC resolved IAW DAFI 90-301 time limits
- Five resolution strategies available for IG complaints
 - Assist – provide information or assistance to complainant allowing complainant to address their concerns directly with most suitable grievance channel (55 - 65%)
 - Dismiss – no evidence of wrongdoing (15 - 20%)
 - Refer – IG complaint formally sent to appropriate alternate grievance channel, frequently command, for inquiry and disposition (20 - 25%)
 - Transfer – Subject or process identified in IG complaint is under jurisdiction of a different AF IG office than the office receiving the complaint (3 - 5%)
 - Investigate – Formal IG investigation warranted; generally limited to reprisal, restriction, and alleged IG misconduct related to DAFI 90-301 or DAFI 90-302 ops (1-2%)
- Officer under investigation and adverse information reporting
 - Commanders must notify IG when any officer (O-1 thru O-6) becomes the subject of any inquiry or investigation
 - Commanders provide closure documents to IG conclusion of any inquiry or investigation with officer subject

- Commanders report all standalone adverse information to include command action above an LOC for all officers to IG
- Commanders place all substantiated findings from a documented investigation or inquiry as well as all standalone adverse information to include command action above an LOC for all officers in the officer's OSR via the MPF and personnel channels
- DAFMAN 1-101 requires ALL CDIs, regardless of rank of subject or nature of allegations, be provided to IG for archiving

- INSPECTIONS

- UEI Capstone average team size and duration: 40 – 70 inspectors for 5 days
 - 24 are core IG staff; remainder mostly from HQ AETC staff, as well as a few from the Air Force Inspection Agency (AFIA) and occasionally wing-level augmentees
- Grading system: 4-tier (Highly Effective, Effective, Marginally Effective, Ineffective)
 - 99% of all overall grades are EFFECTIVE due to heavily weighted scoring values
- CCIR : SQ/CC CCIR due to Wing/CC no later than 90 calendar days after assuming command (AETC Sup to DAFI 90-302, Para 2.5.3.4.1)
- The AETC staff, in conjunction with 19 AF, 2 AF, and the Air Force Installation and Mission Support Center (AFIMSC), continuously monitors compliance of DAFI 90-302 Attachment 3 High Risk Areas within their functional area for each wing and reports on that compliance during Quarterly Inspection Working Groups (QIWG) and Semi-annual Inspection Councils (SAIC). The QIWG is chaired by the AETC/IG and is attended by functional deputies, Vice Wing Commanders and Wing IGs. The SAIC is Chaired by the AETC/CD and attended by Staff Directors and Wing Commanders
- Combat Readiness Inspections (AETC Sup to DAFI 90-302, Para A7.2.2)
 - Exercise objectives and scenarios should validate ability to execute assigned METs, OPLANS, CONPLANS, TPFDD taskings, and UTC mission capability statements.
 - Ensure DRRS reporting is accurate (embrace the red), AFFORGEN assigned members are identified, RAT training accomplished and what the "MAX deploy" risk assessment is to mission.

- RECOMMENDATION

- For information and orientation only